## **REPORTABLE**

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICITION ARBITRATION PETITION NO.10 OF 2010

Omnia Technologies P. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

W.M.A. Van Loosbroek

...Respondent



## T.S. THAKUR, J.

1. The respondent is a Dutch citizen. He entered into an agreement dated 14<sup>th</sup> January, 2008 with the petitioner-company whereby the latter appointed him as its marketing representative to promote sale of RFID Tags and

Components manufactured by the petitioner-company in European market. Clause (1) of the agreement executed between the parties stipulated the terms on which the respondent was to work as the petitioner's representative. It reads:

- "1. OMNIA does hereby appoint PIM as its Representative for Europe, and PIM hereby accepts the aforesaid appointment, upon the following terms:
  - a) PIM would market the Products manufactured by OMNIA, on an exclusive basis, to his clients in Europe, and would be responsible for obtaining the business in the nature of contracts, for supply by OMNIA.
  - b) PIM would be the front-end, dealing with the clients in Europe, and OMNIA would be introduced as the Indian Parent Company.
  - c) In all situations, PIM would be required to introduce the two parties to this Agreement, as a single entity, responsible for managing clients/prospective clients in the whole of Europe.
  - d) All proposals, documentation submitted, would be in the name of OMNIA as the Indian Parent Company, with PIM being reflected as Sole Representative in Europe."

2. The agreement in Clauses 2 and 3 thereof set out the obligations which the respondent was to discharge and those to be discharged by the petitioner. Other conditions like remuneration etc. were also stipulated by the agreement including obligations cast upon the parties after termination of the agreement. Clause 13 of the agreement relevant in this regard, reads:

## "13. Obligations Upon Termination

- a) The termination of this agreement shall not affect any liability of either party to the other, accruing prior to the date of termination, or arising out of this agreement.
- b) Upon termination, PIM agrees to immediately discontinue the use of any trademarks or trade names in whole or in part belonging to OMNIA.
- c) After termination PIM shall not represent, and shall not continue any practices, which might take it, appear, that he is still an authorized OMNIA agent and shall permanently discontinue any use of the word "OMNIA" thereform, all without any expenses to OMNIA.
- d) PIM shall return all manuals, informational materials, instruction booklets, and all data and information in printed form or stored in floppies, CD-ROMS, computer diskettes, or in any other version or medium that was given by OMNIA pursuant to this agreement,

- immediately on termination of this agreement. Electronic mail messages are excluded. PIM shall destroy or render unusable all other proprietary material and copies thereof, which for any reason cannot be delivered to OMNIA. In such event, PIM shall certify in writing to OMNIA that all proprietary material has been delivered to OMNIA or destroyed and that PIM has discontinued use of the same.
- e) Both the parties agree to fulfill all obligations to each other under all the work orders in force at the time of termination of this agreement until the completion of the services specified in the work orders."
- 3. It is common ground that the agreement in question was terminated by the parties in terms of another agreement dated 29<sup>th</sup> February, 2008 executed between the parties. This termination purported to be in conformity with the provisions of Clause 11 of the Original Agreement. The Petitioner-company's case in the present petition under Section 11(6) and (9) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 is that the respondent has committed a violation of the Original Agreement inasmuch as obligations cast upon the respondent under clause 13 of the agreement (supra) have not been discharged by the respondent thereby giving rise to disputes that are in terms of Clause 15 of the original

agreement arbitrable. The petitioner-company appears to have invoked the arbitration clause and asked for appointment of an Arbitrator but since the respondent refused to do the needful, the petitioner has filed the present petition and prayed for the appointment of an independent Arbitrator to adjudicate upon the said disputes.

- 4. Respondent has appeared and filed a counter-affidavit in which it was, inter alia, asserted that there is no subsisting 'arbitrable' disputes to call for the appointment of an Arbitrator. The respondent has in this regard relied upon Clause 4 of the termination agreement which reads as under:
  - "4. Subject to the signing of this termination agreement by the parties, the parties hereby grant each other full and final discharge from all claims, rights and obligations arising out of or relating to the termination of the Representative Agreement. The parties acknowledge that thereafter no claims, rights or obligations will remain existing on whatever ground or whatever relation between the parties in respect of the issue at hand.

This termination agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between the parties."

5. When this petition came up for hearing before me on 15<sup>th</sup> November, 2010, it was pointed out to learned counsel for the respondent that in case this Court was to pronounce upon the effect of Clause 4 of the termination agreement finally and further in case this Court were to hold that Clause 4 did not prevent the petitioner from raising the disputes regarding post-termination obligations of the parties, the Arbitrator appointed by this Court shall have no option but to fall in line and accept that determination as final and binding on the parties. Learned counsel for the respondent asked take instructions was, therefore, to whether interpretation of Clause 4 which was by itself a disputed matter and requires to be adjudicated upon, could be left to be determined by the Arbitrator. Learned counsel for the respondent has, in response filed a letter consenting to the appointment of an Arbitrator for adjudication of all issues including the existence of arbitrable disputes by the

Arbitrator so appointed. The relevant portion of the letter filed on behalf of the respondent is as under:

"In this connection, learned Senior Advocate Mr. U.U. Lalit had mentioned the subject arbitration petition on Friday February 4, 2011 before Hon'ble Justice T.S. Thakur in Court No.8 and informed the Hon'ble Court that the Respondent has consented to the appointment of the arbitrator by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and further consented to raising all issues including the existence of the arbitral dispute before the appointed arbitrator.

As the power of attorney holder of the respondent is not in the country, I, the Advocate on Record of the Respondent after having taken instructions would like to place on record through this letter that

- a) The Respondent has consented to the appointment of arbitrator
- the Respondent has consented to raising all the issues including the existence of the arbitral dispute before the said arbitrator."
- 6. In the light of the above I see no reason why the present petition cannot be allowed and all disputes including the dispute regarding interpretation and effect of Clause 4 of the termination agreement referred for adjudication by arbitration.

8

7. I accordingly allow this petition and refer all disputes

between the parties relating to and arising out of agreement

dated 14<sup>th</sup> January, 2008 and termination agreement dated

29<sup>th</sup> February, 2008 including Clause 4 thereof to the sole

Arbitration Mr. Justice Anil Dev Singh, former Chief Justice of

Rajasthan High Court. The parties are directed to appear

before the nominated Arbitrator on 2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2011. The

Arbitrator shall be free to fix his fee and charges and the

ratio in which the same shall be paid by the parties. Registry

shall forthwith forward a copy of this order along with a copy

of the petition to the worthy Arbitrator for information and

necessary action.

New Delhi March 3, 2011

