## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 627 OF 2011

(Arising out of SLP(Crl.) No.5424/2010)

HARISH KUMAR AND ANR. Appellant(s)

:VERSUS:

STATE OF UTTARAKHAND AND ANR.

Respondent(s)

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 628 OF 2011

(Arising out of SLP(Crl.) No.10588/2010)

ABHA SINHA

Appellant(s)

:VERSUS:

STATE OF UTTARAKHAND AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

## ORDER

Leave granted.

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the State of Uttarakhand submits that the State does not want custodial interrogation of the appellants if the appellants are directed to appear before the Trial Court on 17<sup>th</sup> March, 2011 (the date fixed for hearing of the case by the Trial Court). We direct the appellants to appear before the Trial Court on 17<sup>th</sup> March, 2011 and on all other subsequent dates as and when they are

required by it. In case the appellants do not abide by the aforesaid directions of this Court, then the interim relief granted to them by this Court can be modified by the Trial Court.

In the facts and circumstances of this case, we deem it appropriate to direct the appellants to deposit their passports with the Trial Court on or before the next date of hearing and the Trial Court would also be at liberty to impose any other conditions which it deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

In the event of arrest, the appellants are directed to be released on bail on their furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand) with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.

We request the Trial Court to conduct the trial of the case on day-to-day basis and conclude

the same as expeditiously as possible.

These appeals are disposed of with the aforementioned observations and directions.

(DALVEER BHANDARI)

(DEEPAK VERMA)

New Delhi;
February 25, 2011.