SONAPUR TEA COMPANY PVT. LTD. & ANR.

ν.

STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR.

MARCH 3, 1994

[K. RAMASWAMY AND N. VENKATACHALA, JJ.]

Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property Act, 1952—Section 8(2)(a)—"Recurring payment"—Meaning of —Held, it is the annual rental it could have fetched, not the annual income lost—Increase or decrease of net annual income, market value of requisitioned property relevant factors—Requisitioning of tea estate—Section 25—Defence of India Act, 1962—Tea Act 1953.

Sections 8(2)(b)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv)—Components of compensation—Pecuniary loss because of suddenly giving up possession of requisitioned property, held, to be compensated—Claims for expenses on vacation and re-occupation of premises to be made with supporting evidence at appropriate stage—Damage to property during requisition arises only when property de-requisitioned—Determinants of compensation, held, are specified in the Act.

Words & Phrases: "Recurring Payment"—Meaning of in the context of Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property Act, 1952.

A tea estate, in an extent of 1617 bighas, in the district of Kamrup, Assam, was requisitioned under the Defence of India Act 1962 for the Defence Department which was put in possession in 1963. Some portion of the tea estate was derequisitioned immediately thereafter, reducing the extent under continuing requisition to 1435 bighas. The tea estate, not being released from requisition before January 10, 1968, became a property deemed to be requisitioned under Section 25 of the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable-Property Act 1952. The question of compensation remaining unsettled, it was referred to an arbitrator for determining the amount payable. On october 3, 1972, the arbitrator arrived at a figure of Rs. 49,08,786.50. The Defence Department, finding the compensation excessive, filed an appeal. The High Court partly allowed the appeal. While upholding that part of the award which provided for an initial one-time award, it laid down guidelines for computing the other components of

Α

B

D

E.

D

 \mathbf{F}

A compensation. In its appeal to this Court, the appellant-tea company contended that the guidelines were not in consonance with the Act and, therefore, unsustainable. The appellants also submitted that they would have no objection to this Court setting aside the unchallenged portion of the High Court Judgment if found to be unwarranted by the provisions of the Act.

Allowing the appeal, this Court

HELD: 1. The compensation payable for the property requisitioned under the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property Act, 1952 must be determined on the basis of the relevant principles or determinants specified in the Act itself. [308-F]

- 2.1. Section 8(2) specifies the principles or determinants of compensation. "Recurring payment" in Section 8(2)(a) is the principal sum of compensation payable for the requisitioned property. "Recurring payment" is the sum equal to the rent which would have been payable for the use and occupation of the requisitioned property if it had been taken on lease during that period. When a tea estate is requisitioned, the best evidence of the rent would be the rental fetched by the tea estate if it was the subject of lease earlier to requisitioning; or the rental fetched subsequently under lease of a comparable tea estate in the surrounding area or in similar areas, after making additions or deductions depending upon the differential plus or minus factors involved in a given situation. If this is not available, the net income of the tea estate during the 3-5 years prior to requisitioning may be computed. [308-F; 309-H; 310-H; 311-A]
- F 2.2. What is to be made good by way of recurring payment is not the annual income lost because of such requisitioning but what is lost by way of annual rental. The annual rental cannot be equal to annual net income.

 A lessee, it would not be unreasonable to presume, would not pay more then two-third of the income as rental, having regard to investment, trouble and risks which he as a lessee undertakes by taking such property on lease. [311-E-G]
- 2.3. Annual rental correspondingly changes with increase or decrease in net annual income. Either market value of the requisitioned tea estate or any appropriate well recongnised method of valuation of property could be adopted for fixing the market value of the requisitioned

tea estate. [312-C-D]

Α

2.4. The recurring payment to be made for any period of requisitioning under Section 8(2)(a) should be determined having regard to the annual rental of the requisitioned tea estate as such, i.e., as a single unit and with reference to the condition in which such tea state could have, in the normal course, stood, during the period for which annual rent is fixed. [312-F-G]

В

3. Pecuniary loss mentioned in Section 8(2)(b)(i) is caused by the need to suddenly give up possession of the requisitioned property. It may also include other losses including retrenchment compensation payable to labourers on the estate, loss resulting from discarding implements. machinery, equipments, etc., which are not remote in character. This compenent is similar to disturbance compensation under the Land Acquisition Act. [313-D-F]

4. The expenses envisaged in Section 8(2)(b)(ii) and (iii) are to be paid when claims are made in that regard at the appropriate stage and supporting evidence adduced therefor. [313-G, H]

5. The question of fixing damages under Section 8(2)(b)(iv) cannot arise until the property is de-requisitioned, [314-C]

E

6. The award of the arbitrator, and the judgment of the High Court are set aside. The Government of Assam is required to appoint an arbitrator for determining afresh the compensation payable for the requisitioned tea estate in accordance with law and in consonance with the guidelines laid down in this judgment. [314H, 315A]

F

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION: Civil Appeal No. 3671 of 1984.

- From the Judgment and Order dated 5.1.82 of the Assam High Court in A.No. 62 of 1973.
- J.P. Bhattacharjee, N.R. Choudhary, Somnath Mukherjee and Ms. Ranjana Ghosal for the Appellants.
 - S.K. Nandy for the Respondents.
 - The Judgment of the Court was delivered by

F

G

VENKATACHALA, J. There was a tea estate in an area of 1617 Α bighas of land in the District of Kamrup in the State of Assam. That tea estate was requisitioned under the Defence of India Act, 1962 by the Government of Assam and the Defence Department of the Union was put in possession of it in the year 1963. De-requisitioning of a portion of that tea estate having taken place immediately thereafter, the actual tea estate B which continued in possession of the Defence Department of the Union, was reduced to an extent of 1435 bighas of land only. However, that tea estate which was not released from requisition before the 10th January, 1968, as from that date, became a property which was deemed to have been requisitioned under the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property Act, 1952, to be referred to hereinafter as 'the Act', by operation of section 25 of the Act. Even thereafter, the tea estate continued to be in occupation of the Defence Department of the Union and used as before, for its defence purposes. But, the compensation payable under the Act to appellant-1 for its requisitioned tea estate remained unsettled since no agreement was reached on the amount of such compensation. It appears D that Appellant-1 through its Director, Appellant-2, moved the Deputy Commissioner, District of Kamrup, who was the competent Authority under the Act, for referring the matter of determination of the just amount of compensation payable for its tea estate to an Arbitrator, required to be appointed thereunder. Subsequently, Shri D.C. Sangma, District Judge, Land Acquisition Department, Assam, who was appointed as an Arbitrator Е by the Government of Assam, determined by his award dated 3rd October, 1972, the amount of compensation payable to Appellant-1 for its requisitioned tea estate to be a sum of Rs.49,08,786.50, thus:

1. Initial one time compensation

1423 Bhaidka bamboo at Ns. 2.30 Cach total	umg 172.	3304.30
960 Jati bamboo at Rs. 1.50 each totalling	Rs.	1440.00
6430 Kotah bamboo at Rs. 1 each totalling	Rs.	6430.00
849 Bijuli bamboo at Rs. 0.50 each totallin	g Rs.	424.50
143 Raiding cane at Rs. 35 each totalling	Rs.	5005.00
3288 Fuel trees at Rs. 20 each totalling	Rs.	65760.00

2. Annual recurring compensation for :

	(a) 21 Jam trees at Rs.30 each totalling	Rs. 630
H	(b) 206 Kathak trees at Rs.50 each totalling	Rs. 10300

1425 Rhaliska hamboo at Rs. 2.50 each totalling

H

(c) 32 Amalkhi trees at Rs.40 each totalling	Rs. 1280	Α
(d) 10 Teteli trees at Rs.20 each totalling	Rs. 200	
(e) 03 Lemon trees at Rs.30 each totalling	Rs. 90	
(f) 01 Silikha trees at Rs.20	Rs. 20	
(g) 178 Bel trees at Rs.20 each totalling	Rs. 3560	
(h) 167 Mango trees Rs.60 each totalling	Rs. 10020	'n
(i) 005 Madhuriam trees Rs.20 each totalling	Rs. 100	В
(j) 052 Simalu trees at Rs.30 each totalling	Rs. 1560	
(k) 701 Orange trees at Rs.70 each totalling	Rs. 49070	
3. Annual recurring compensation for 153 bigh	as,	
1 Khata and 10 Leches at Rs.300 per bighas	•	C
9 years (from 8-3- 1963 to 11-5-1972)		C
4. Annual recurring compensation for 124 bigh	as	
0 Khata and 2 Lechea at Rs. 350 per bighas		
9 years (from 8-3-1963 to 11.5.1972)	, 101	
) jours (10m 0 5 1705 to 11.5.1772)		D
5. Annual recurring compensation for 1157 bigl	has.	
1 khata and 10 Lechea at Rs.125 per bigha		
9 years (from 8-3- 1963 to 11-5-1972)		
> yours (nome of 1505 to 11 5 15,2)		
6. Annual recurring compensation for 56548 tea	1	_
bushes at Rs.4 tea bush for 9 years		E
(from 8-3-1963 to 11-5-1972)		
,		
7. Interest at 6 per cent per annum on total am	ount	
of compensation from 11-5-1972 till final pa	yment.	
•	-	F
		_

Feeling that the said award of the Arbitrator was excessive, the Defence Department of the Union through the Deputy Commissioner, Kamrup filed an appeal against it in the Gauhati High Court. That appeal was partly allowed by the High Court, in that, it set aside the award of the Arbitrator except with regard to the compensation fixed under item 1 in the award and remitted the case for being decided afresh by the Arbitrator, by following the guidelines given in its judgment. But the appellants, who felt that the guidelines of the High Court given in its judgment and required to be followed by the Arbitrator in determining the compensation payable for the requisitioned property - the tea estate, were not inconsonance with the principles specified in the provisions of the Act, have presented this

G

H

A appeal by special leave

It was submitted by the learned counsel for the appellants that the judgment under appeal, although is a remand order and directs the Arbitrator to make a fresh determination of compensation for the first appellant's requisitioned tea estate, the guidelines contained therein for B determination of compensation - (i) that in computing recurring compensation payable for the tea estate, income from tea bushes, fruit bearing plants or trees or even building in such estate, which existed at the time of requisition, shall not be taken into account, if they were destroyed after requisitioning; (ii) that in computing recurring compensation payable for the tea estate, the use to which the land of the estate is put by the occupant \mathbf{C} could alone be taken into consideration; and (iii) that the compensation payable for tea bushes, fruit bearing plants and trees growth, building etc. in the tea estate which were likely to be destroyed shall be their one-time lump sum value; not being in consonance with the relevant principles specified in the Act, were unsustainable. It was also submitted by the D learned counsel for the appellants and rightly, that the appellants will have no objection for setting aside that portion of the judgment of the High Court by which a lump sum compensation awarded by the Arbitrator for fruit bearing plants, trees and other wild growth in the tea estate was affirmed, if such award of separate compensation for plants and trees and other wild growth in a tea estate separately, is found by us to be unwar-E ranted by the provisions of the Act.

As the said subnmissions of the learned counsel for the appellants merit our consideration, we shall proceed to deal with them.

F The compensation payable for the property requisitioned under the Act must be determined on the basis of the relevant principles or determinants specified in the Act itself, admits of no controversy. As such principles or determinants are specified in sub-section (2) of section 8 of the Act, it would be advantageous to advert to it. It reads:

"8(2). The amount of compensation payable for requisitioning any property shall, subject to the provisions of sub-sections (2A) and (2B), consist of --

(a) a recurring payment, in respect to the period of requisition, a sum equal to the rent which would have been payable for

the use and occupation of the property, if it had been taken on lease for that period; and

- (b) such sum or sums, if any as may be found necessary to compensate the person interested for all or any of the following matters, namely,--
 - (i) pecuniary loss due to requisitioning;
 - (ii) expenses on account of vacating the requisitioned premises;
 - (iii) expenses on account of reoccupying the premises upon release from requisition; and
 - (iv) damages (other than normal wear and tear) caused to the property during the period of requisition, including the expenses that may have to be incurred for restoring the property to the condition in which it was at the time of requisition."

Sub-section (2) of section 8, as seen, makes its provision subject to the provisions in sub-sections (2A) and (2B) thereof, which envisage revision and re-revision of recurring payment to be made in respect of requisitioned property under clause (a) of sub-section (2). Therefore, when the need to revise or re-revise the recurring payment required to be made under clause (a) of sub-section (2), to meet one or the other contingencies envisaged in either sub-section (2A) or sub-section (2B) arises, resort to such revision or re-revision becomes necessary and indeed is obligatory. Since the revision or re-revision envisaged in sub-section (2A) or sub-section (2B) also refers to recurring payment to be made for the requisitioned property under clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 8, it would be advantageous to ascertain, at the first instance, as to what is that "recurring payment", which is required to be made for the requisitioned property under that clause (a), inasmuch as, that recurring payment is the principal sum of compensation payable for the requisitioned property.

"Recurring payment" envisaged under clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 8, as could be seen therefrom, is a sum equal to the rent which would have been payable for the use and occupation of the requisitioned property if it had been taken on lease during that period. The recurring

В

)

E

F

3

Н

F

H

A payment to be made for the requisitioned property under clause (a), therefore, must be a sum which is equal the rent that might be fetched by the requisitioned property, if it had been leased for the period during which it was under requisition. Although rent payable for a property taken on lease for a period could generally be on yearly or monthly basis, according to the nature of the property, during the period of subsistence of such lease, a recurring payment of compensation envisaged in clause (a) to be made for the requisitioned property has to be fixed on yearly basis, if it is agricultural land or on quarterly basis, if it is any other type of property, as is indicated in Rule 9(2) of the Rules made under the Act.

as is indicated in Rule 9(2) of the Rules made under the Act. C Thus the sum of compensation envisaged under clause (a) of subsection (2) of section 8 as the recurring payment' being a sum equal to rent payable for the requisitioned property, during the period of requisition, as if it is the period of lease, the only course permitted by that clause is, to assess the rental of the requisitioned property on yearly basis, if it is an agricultural land or on quarterly basis, if it is any other property, for D payment as an annual recurring payment of compensation or quarterly recurring payment of compensation, as the case may be, for the requisitioned property. Then, as to what sum of compensation becomes payable for the requisitioned tea estate (an agricultural land with tea plantations), as annual recurring payment under clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section E 8, cannot be anything other than the annual rental it would have fetched during the requisitioned period, if it had been leased out during that period. Of course, the period of years for which the annual recurring payment determined becomes payable, must be according to sub-section (2A) or sub-section (2B), where any of them require its application.

When a tea estate is requisitioned under the Act, it becomes necessary to find out the rental which it could have fatched, if the whole of the tea estate had been leased during the years of requisition. Necessity to find out the rental of the whole tea estate arises because proper management of the 'tea estate' which is subject to regulatary measures contained in the Tea Act, 1953, is not otherwise feasible. When such rental is found out fixing the sum of recurring payment to be made for it under clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 8 of the Act will pose no problem. The best evidence of rental of a requisitioned tea estate cannot be anything other than the rental fetched by it, if it was the subject of lease earlier to requisitioning. Rental fetched earlier or subsequently under any lease of a

B

E

F

G

comparable tea estate lying in the surrounding area or in any other similar areas, could also prove to be of advantge. When there is evidence of such rental paid or payable under comparable leases of tea estates, ascertainment of the approximate amount of rental which could have been fetched by the requisitioned tea estate during the period of requisitioning could be done by making additions or deductions in the rent paid or payable under comparable leases depending upon the differential plus or minus factors involved in a given situation. When the rent of requisitioned tea estate is so ascertained, it will not be difficult to fix the recurring payment to be made for that tea estate under clause (a) of sub-section (2) of Section 8. But if the evidence of comparable leases needed for fixing the recurring payment to be made under clause (a) of sub-section (2) of Section 8 for a requisitioned tea estate is not available, next thing to do is, to look to the evidence of net income from the very tea estate got by its owner or occupier during three to five years previous to its requisitioning and find out therefrom as to what could have been the net annual income which could have been got by the person entitled to the same in the years during which it was under requisition. As to what is required to be made good by way of recurring payment to the owner or lessee or other person entitled to get it, for loss of occupation and user of the requisitioned property during the period of its requisitioning, it must be noted, is not the annual imcome lost by such person because of requisitioning but what is lost to him by way of annual rental. Therefore, what becomes necessary is, to find out what would have been the annual rental fetched by the requisitioned property if it was fetching certain annual net income. It would be so for the reason that the annual rental which may be fetched by a property cannot be equal to annual net income likely to be got by such property, in that, no person would take a property on lease, if he has to pay the whole of its annual income, as annual rent. In the absence of evidence as to how much amount could be paid as annual rental by a lessee of a requisitioned property, such as a tea estate, it would not be unreasonable to proceed on the basis that no lessee would be ready to pay annual rental of more than two-third likely annual net imcome from such tea estate, that is, without the possibility of keeping to himself, a marginal profit of at least one-third annual net income, having regard to investment, trouble and risks which he as a lessee undertakes by taking such property on lease.

The net annual income of a tea estate during the concerned years, it is found to be either on increase or decrease with the passage of years and

312

normal change in its condition, the annual rental also either increases or decreases, correspondingly. This is again a factor which must weigh in fixing recurring payment to be made under clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 8 of the Act. Since tea estates will have been generally owned or

section 8 of the Act. Since tea estates will have been generally owned or managed by companies or partnership firms, the accounts of profits and losses of such estates are bound to be maintained by them. When once such accounts become available, it would not be difficult to ascertain the net income of a requisitioned tea estate for any period of years, on their basis. However, if no evidence of net income of the requisitioned tea estate or any other similar, estate, on the basis of which the net income of

requisitioned tea estate could be ascertained becomes available, it becomes necessary to find out the market value of the requisitioned tea estate taking into consideration the amount for which the very requisitioned estate was purchased or the amount for which similar estates were purchased. If the market value of the requisitioned tea estate cannot be so ascertained, any appropriate well recognised method, of valuation of property, could be

D adopted for fixing the market value of the requisitioned tea estate. When nonce such market value of the requisitioned tea estate is fixed, the next perceive would be to find out what percentage of such market value could the regarded as its annual income taking into consideration the market conditions prevailing during the relevant period of requisitioning and on the basis of such annual net income to fix its annual rental and ultimately on the basis of such annual rental to fix the recurring payment liable to be

made good junder; section, 8(2)(a), of the Act. It should, however, be reiterated that recurring payment payable under section 8(2) (a) of the Act nfor a requisitioned tea estate could be determined not by fixing rental for leach type of property comprised in such estate separately but by, arriving nat a lump sum rental of such estate. In other words, the recurring payment to be made for any period of requisitioning under section 8(2)(a) should be determined having regard to the annual rental of the requisitioned tea restate as such, i.e., as a single unit and with reference to the condition in

tewhich such tea estate could have in the normal course stood during the toperiod for which annual rental is fixed; their man, the state of the property of their walkers be added to the succession of the property of the component of compensation of the component of the compensation of the component of the compensation of the co

tion payable for the requisitioned property under clause (b) of sub-section (2) of section 8 of the Act to the person interested for all or any of the matters enumerated therein, which is again a principle of determination of compensation specified in the Act. Such matters, as seen from the

provision in clause (b) of sub-section (2) of section 8 of the Act are:

Α

В

E

- "(i) pecuniary loss due to requisitioning;
- (ii) expenses on account of vacating the requisitioned premises;
- (iii) expenses on account of reoccupying the premises upon release from requisition; and
- (iv) damages (other than normal wear and tear) caused to the property during the period of requisition, including the expenses that may have to be incurred for restoring the property to the condition in which it was at the time of requisition."

As regards the sum payable as compensation under sub-clause (i) to the person interested, it is the sum of pecuniary loss caused due to requisitioning. It would be the loss caused to the person in occupation of the requisitioned property because of the need to suddenly give up possession of such requisitioned property. The pecuniary loss may include certain other losses to be suffered by the person dispossessed from the requisitioned property. For instance, if the requisitioned property is a tea estate, the owner or occupier may have to bear retrenchment compensation payable to labourers working on the estate, resulting in loss or may to discard certain agricultural implements or machinery or equipments used in cultivation of the requisitioned tea estate, resulting in loss, such kind of losses suffered by the person dispossessed from the estate, if not remote in character, would be such person's pecuniary loss and the same is required to be made good under this sub-clause. The pecuniary loss envisaged in this sub-clause is like compensation payable in case of property acquired under the Land Acquisition Act, as disturbance compensation.

Coming to the sums payable as compensation to the persons interested, in respect of expenses arising on account of vacating the requisitioned premises and expenses on account of re-occupying the premises upon release from requisitioning, envisaged under sub-clauses (ii) and (iii) of clause (b) of sub-section (2) of section 8 of the Act, they are to be paid when claims are made in that regard at the appropriate stage and supporting evidence adduced therefore. As there is no ambiguity as to the nature

H

A of expenses covered under these clauses, it would not be difficult to fix the amount of compensation payable under those head, Then, the other sum of compensation payable under sub-clause (iv) of clause (b) of sub-section (2) relates to damages (other than normal wear and tear) caused to the property during the period of requisition including the expenses that may have to be incurred for restoring the property to the condition in which it was at the time of requisition. The nature of damages envisaged under the sub-clauses, if is seen, these are damages which should be ascertained in respect of requisitioned property after its de-requisitioning and not before. Hence, the question of fixing any damages under clause (iv) which has to be paid by way of compensation under sub-section (2) of section 8 of the Act, cannot arise until the property is de-requisitioned.

The principles of determination of compensation for a property requisitioned under the Act, are the determinants specified in the Act, itself for the purpose of fixing compensation for the property requisitioned therunder. We have already adverted to those principles or determinants D and amplified them. The provisions in the Act, when specifying the principles or determinants of fixing of compensation, require that they should be applied in fixing the compensation payable for the property requisitioned under the Act, no choice is left. What are the methods which could be followed for fixing the compensation payable for a property as a tea F estate requisitioned under the Act in accordance with the principles of determination specified therein, are also indicated by us. Since the award made for the requisitioned tea estate by the Arbitrator and the guidelines given by the High Court in its remand order for being followed by the Arbitrator in fixing the compensation payable for the requisitioned proper-F ty under the Act are not made or given conforming to the determinants or principles of determination of compensation for requisitioned property amplified by us, based on the provisions of the Act, the award of the Arbitrator as well as the (remand order) judgment of the High Court under appeal, cannot be sustained. Hence, the award of the Arbitrator as well as G the judgment of the High Court are liable to be set aside.

In the result, we allow this appeal, set aside the award of the Arbitrator and the judgment of the High Court and remit the case to Government of Assam requiring it to appoint an Arbitrator and place the case before him for determining afresh the amount of compensation pay-

able for the requisitioned tea estate, after affording the parties opportunity to make claims or file objections afresh, if they so desire, and to adduce evidence including that of experts, if any, therefore afresh and order payment of compensation less the amount of compensation if any, already paid, in accordance with law and in consonance with the guidelines laid down in this judgment. The Arbitrator to be appointed shall dispose of the case with utmost expedition and at any rate within a period of four months from the date of his appointment as Arbitrator. However, in the circumstances of this appeal, there will be no order as to costs.

В

The copy of this judgment shall be sent to the Chief Secretary, Government of Assam to take immediate action in the matter of appointment of an Arbitrator and to take such other steps as are permitted under the Requisitioning and Acquisition Immovable Property Act, 1952 and the Rules made thereunder.

 \mathbf{C}

U.R.

Appeal allowed.