PETITIONER:

K.V. KRISHNAMANI

Vs.

RESPONDENT:

LALIT KALA ACADEMY

DATE OF JUDGMENT: 10/05/1996

BENCH:

K. RAMASWAMY, G.B. PATTANAIK

ACT:

HEADNOTE:

JUDGMENT:

ORDER

Leave granted.

We have heard learned counsel on both sides.

This appeal arises out of the order of the Delhi High Court in Writ Petition No. 3695 of 1990 made on April 30, 1991. The appellant was appointed initially on ad hoc basis on March, 3, 1987 and thereafter with a view to regularises his services, he was put on probation. During probation, his services having been found to be not satisfactory, were terminated by proceedings date December 1, 1989. The appellant came to challenge the same by filling writ petition in November 1990 which was dismissed by the High Court the this appeal by special leave.

It is contended by the appellant that since the averments made in the counter would constitute foundation for dismissal for misconduct, an enquiry in this behalf was required to be made. On the other hand, it is contended by the respondent that during the probation the appellant did not acquire any right to the post. If on being found suitable he was regularised, only then he would have acquired the right to continue in the post. During probation, it was found that his services were not satisfactory and reasons were given in support thereof. Thus they do not constitute foundation but motive to terminate the services. We find force in the contention of the respondent. They have explained that the driving of the staff car was not satisfactory and that, therefore, they have terminated the services of the appellant during probation. They very object of the probation is to test the suitability and if the appointed authority finds that the candidate is not suitable, it certainly has power to terminate the services of the employee. Under these circumstances, it cannot but be held that the reasons mentioned constitute motive and not foundation termination of service. Therefore, we hold that the High Court has not committed any error of law.

The appeal is accordingly dismissed. No costs.