IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION [C] NO.29213 OF 2009

M/s. S.B. Minerals

... Petitioner

۷s.

M/s. MSPL Limited

... Respondent

ORDER

R.V. Raveendran, J.

The respondent filed a suit for declaration and injunction against the petitioner. The suit was decreed. The petitioner filed a appeal and the first appellate court allowed the appeal and dismissed the suit. Feeling aggrieved, the respondent filed a regular second appear under section 100 of the Code of Civil Procedure (for short 'CPC'). Be order dated 8.10.2009, the High Court admitted the appeal formulation three substantial questions of law. In view of the urgency expressed, the High Court directed that the appeal be set down for final hearing in November, 2009.

2. The respondent has sought leave to file an appeal against the 'order' of admission of the second appeal. The petitioner contends that

the case did not involve any substantial question of law and the secon appeal ought not to have been admitted.

- 3. Sub-section (5) of section 100 CPC provides that a second appear shall be heard on the substantial questions of law formulated by the Court. It also provides that the respondent, at the hearing of the second appeal, can argue that the case does not involve such questions. Thus the substantial questions of law formulated by the High Court are not final and it is open to the petitioner herein (who is the respondent in the pending appeal) to demonstrate during hearing that no substantial question of law arose for consideration in the case and that the second appear should be dismissed.
- 4. An order admitting a second appeal is neither a final order nor as interlocutory/interim order. It does not amount to a judgment, decree determination, sentence or even "order" in the traditional sense. It does not decide any issue but merely entertains an appeal for hearing.
- 5. The scope of Article 136 is no doubt very wide. Special leave t appeal can be granted under Article 136 against any judgment, decree determination, sentence or order passed or made by any court or tribunal in any case or matter. There are no limitations upon the discretionar power of this Court under Article 136, except those which are self imposed. One recognised area where the discretion is not exercised i where the remedy by way of an appeal or revision is available against the order. Another recognised area is where the subject matter is stale of frivolous or cantankerous or where the stakes or issue involved is serious cantankerous or where the stakes or issue involved is serious cantankerous.

small and negligible, that grant of leave or even issue of notice will cast a heavy burden in terms of expense, time and energy on a poor ordinary respondent.

- 6. There is a third recognised area of exclusion relating to order which do not decide any issue. Orders admitting petition/appeal/revision, or orders issuing notice to show cause why petition/appeal/revision should not be entertained, or an order merely adjourning a case, fall under this category. Extraordinary situation leading to irreversible injustice can of course be exceptions to the exclusion. This case falls under the third category of exclusion, but doe not fall under the exception to the exclusion.
- 7. It is a matter of concern that there is a noticeable increase in th number of special leave petitions against such 'non-orders' referred to a orders.
- 8. The special leave petition is dismissed.

_____J (R V Raveendran)

New Delhi; November 23, 2009.

(K S Radhakrishnan