

PETITIONER:
NIADAR AND ORS. ETC. ETC

Vs.

RESPONDENT:
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR. ETC. ETC.

DATE OF JUDGMENT 25/01/1991

BENCH:
MISRA, RANGNATH (CJ)
BENCH:
MISRA, RANGNATH (CJ)
AHMADI, A.M. (J)
SAHAI, R.M. (J)

CITATION:
1991 SCR (1) 135 1991 SCC (2) 126
JT 1991 (1) 227 1991 SCALE (1) 107

ACT:
Land Acquisition Act, 1894-Section 54-Appeal to Supreme Court-When lies.

HEADNOTE:

The appellant filed petitions as appeals under section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act. As they were not accompanied by any certificate from the High Court, the Registry sought directions as to whether the petitions should be treated as appeals under section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, or as special leave petitions under Article 136 of the Constitution of India.

Disposing of the petitions, this Court,

HELD: 1. An appeal under section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act would lie to this Court only on a certificate granted by the High Court in terms provided in Order 45. [137H-138A]

2. In the present cases, as the petitioners did not approach the High Court as required by Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act read with Order 45 of the Civil Procedure Code, the matters cannot be registered under Section 54. [138A]

3. The Registry is directed to register these appeals as special leave petitions under Article 136 and place them for preliminary hearing before a suitable Bench. [138B]

JUDGMENT:

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION: D. Nos. 3490, 3673, 3674, 3689-3699, 3700-3703, 4168 and 4169 of 1989.

Appeal under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

S.N. Bhatt for the Appellants.

The following Order of the Court was delivered:

136

These petitions were filed as appeals under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act. Since they were not accompanied by any Certificate from the High Court that they raised substantial questions of law which were fit to be decided by this Court, the Registry of this Court has sought

direction as to whether they should be treated as appeals under the aforesaid section or as special leave petitions under Article 136 of the Constitution of India.

Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act is extracted below:

"54. Appeals in proceedings before Court-Subject to the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (5 of 1908), applicable to appeals from original decrees, and notwithstanding anything to the contrary in any enactment for the time being in force, an appeal shall only lie in any proceedings under this Act to the High Court from the award, or from any part of the award, of the Court and from any decree of the High Court passed on such appeal as aforesaid an appeal shall lie to the Supreme Court subject to the provisions contained in Section 110 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, and in Order XV thereof."

It has stood in this form since 1921 except that the expression, 'His Majesty in Council' was substituted, in 1950, with words 'the Supreme Court'. Prior to 1921, there was no right to appeal to the Privy Council. The Section was amended by Section 3 of the Land Acquisition (Amendment) Act XIX of 1921 as it was held by the Privy Council that in the absence of any provision in the Act no appeal against the decree of the High Court under the Land Acquisition Act could be entertained. But the amendment while permitting an appeal made it subject to the provisions of Section 110 and Order 45 of the Civil Procedure Code. The substantive right of appeal conferred by Section 54 was thus circumscribed and it could only be entertained either if the valuation of the subject matter was as specified or the question of law raised was substantial. In 1950, when the Supreme Court was set up under the Constitution, its original, constitutional, appellate and special jurisdictions were spelt out in Articles 131 to 136. The appellate jurisdiction arising out of civil proceedings was provided by Article 133. Originally it provided for an appeal as a matter of right if the subject matter of dispute was not less than the specified amount or the High Court certified it to fit case for being decided by this Court. In 1973, the article was amended and the jurisdiction to entertain an appeal arising out of civil proceedings became confined to grant of

137

certificate by the High Court that the case involved a substantial question of law and that in the opinion of the High Court the said question needed to be decided by this Court. In keeping with this amendment Section 110 of the Civil Procedure Code which provided for an appeal on valuation of the subject matter to Supreme Court was omitted in 1976. Order 45 which provided for appeals to the Privy Council before 1950 and to the Supreme Court thereafter was also amended in 1976. Its Sub-rules 2 and 3 which are relevant are extracted below:

"(2). Application to Court whose decree complained of-(1) whoever desire to appeal to the Supreme Court shall apply by petition to the Court whose decree is complained of.

(2) Every petition under sub-rule (1) shall be heard as expeditiously as possible and endeavour shall be made to conclude the disposal of the petition within sixty days from the date on which the petition is presented to the Court under sub-rule (1).

(3) Certificate as to value or fitness.-(1) Every

petition shall state the grounds of appeal and pray for a certificate.

(i) that the case involves as substantial question of law of general importance, and

(ii) that in the opinion of the Court the said question needs to be decided by the Supreme Court.

(2) Upon receipt of such petition, the Court shall direct notice to be served on the opposite party to show cause why the said certificate should not be granted."

These rules require a person desiring to approach this Court to move first the High Court by a petition, from whose decree, appeal is sought, stating the substantial question of law which needs to be decided by this Court. The Court thereafter is required to certify if the requirements of sub-clause (i) and (ii) of Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 3 of Order 45 were satisfied.

It is thus clear that an appeal under section 54 of the Land

138

Acquisition Act would lie to this Court only on a certificate granted by the High Court in terms provided in Order 45, admittedly, the petitioners did not approach the High Court as required by Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act read with Order 45 of the Civil Procedure Code, the matters cannot be registered under Section 54. The Registry is directed to register these appeals as special leave petitions under Article 136 and place them for preliminary hearing before a suitable Bench.

V.P.R.

Order accordingly.

139