```
http://JUDIS.NIC.IN
PETITIONER:
ALL INDIA DEMOCRATIC WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION ANDJANWADI SAMITI
        Vs.
RESPONDENT:
UNION OF INDIA & ORS.
DATE OF JUDGMENT17/03/1989
BENCH:
MISRA RANGNATH
BENCH:
MISRA RANGNATH
VENKATACHALLIAH, M.N. (J)
CITATION:
 1989 AIR 1280
                          1989 SCR (2)
                                         66
 1989 SCC (2) 411
                          JT 1989 (1)
                                        548
 1989 SCALE (1)680
ACT:
            Commission of Sati (Prevention) Act, 1987: Chunri Cer
e-
        mony-Whether glorification of Sati--Maintenance of Sa
ti
        temple and support to social institutions of utility out
of
        income earned pending determination- Permissibility of.
HEADNOTE:
th
```

By an ex-parte order made in the writ petition on 9 September, 1988, the Court prohibited respondent No. 2, t he management of the Sri Rani Satiji's Mandir, from performi nq Chunri ceremony within the temple and directed the enti re collection of money to be separately accounted for a nd deposited into a nationalised bank. In this miscellaneous petition, the management soug ht vacation of the ad interim order and lot a direction to he authorities not to interfere in the matter of collection of money as also articles of gold and silver of deities. Disposing of the miscellaneous petition, the Court, Ordered: 1. The restraint imposed on holding the Chunri ceremo ny within the temple should continue without any variatio n. [68G-H] 2.1 Pending disposal of the writ .petition, the temp le complex has to be maintained. Therefore, out of the inco me

earned maintenance expenses must be met. [69A]

2.2 If out of the income of this institution any contr

```
i-
       bution was being made to sustain some social institutions
of
       utility, the support should not die out. [69B-C]
            2.3 The respondent No. 2 is free to move the Distri
ct
       Magistrate in regard to these two aspects and in case
he
        latter is satisfied that appropriate funds should be r
e-
        leased out of the deposits in the bank for
        one or both of the above said purposes, he would be free
to
        make an appropriate order in respect thereof. [69C]
JUDGMENT:
            ORIGINAL JURISDICTION: Civil Misc. Petition No. 28180
of
        1988.
        IN
        Writ Petition No. 9 13 of 1988
        (Under Article 32 of the Constitution of India.)
       Mrs. K. Hingorani and Ms. Santosh Singh for the Petitioner
            A.K. Sen (Not Present), Dr. L.M. Singhvi, Vivek Gambhi
r,
        Parveen Kumar and B.D. Sharma for the Respondents.
        S.P. Singh for the Impleading party.
        The following Order of the Court was delivered:
        ORDER
           This Court on 9.9.1988 after hearing counsel for t
he
       petitioners in the writ petition made an ex parte order
to
        the following effect:
                 "Issue notice returnable on Tuesday,
th
       September, 1988. In view of the allegations made in Par
a-
        graph 4 of the affidavit given by Vijay Trivedi, the R
        spondent No. 2 is prohibited from performing any Chun
ri
       Ceremony within Sri Rani Satiji's Mandir and the responden
ts
       are directed to enforce this order on the th September, 19
88
       and on any other occasion thereafter until further order
s.
       The entire collection of money shall be separately account
ed
        for and should be deposited into a nationalised bank,
so
        that appropriate directions after hearing both the parti
es
       may be made by this Court in regard to the same
        On 10th of September, 1988, the annual Satiji Mela w
as
        scheduled to be celebrated within the said temple premises
           The management of the temple, respondent No. 2, in t
he
```

rn

es

а

C-

nd

nd

he

n,

on

or

he

ng

er

ed

ch

he

le

al

7,

ct

ng

at

ti

of

a-

r.

an

ti

of

writ petition has now applied for vacating the ad inter im order and for a 68

direction to the District Magistrate, Jhunjhunu to retu the keys of the Bhetpatras of the temple and the box containing the articles of gold and silver and also for direction to him not to interfere in the matter of colle tion of money as also collection of articles of gold a silver of deities located in the premises of the temple a to make such other orders as may be necessary to meet t situation.

We have heard Dr. Singhvi in support of the petitio Mrs. Hingorani for the petitioners in the writ petiti asking for sustaining the interim order and Mr. Sharma f the State of Rajasthan. An affidavit has been filed by t District Magistrate of Jhunjhunu in the course of heari which has also been placed before us.

Broadly two aspects require consideration: (1) wheth the 'Chunry' ceremony should be permitted to be perform within the temple and (2) whether out of the amounts whi are being deposited in the nationalised bank in terms of t interim order, the expenses for maintenance of the temp complex, performance of the daily rites as also the usu charities should not be made.

Under the Commission of Sati (Prevention) Act, 198 glorification of Sati is strictly prohibited and that a has been declared to be an offence. Dr. Singhvi by referri to different dictionaries has tried to impress upon us th Chunry as such is not connected with glorification of Sa and is a ceremony connected with the traditional form offering worship known as Sodash Upachar while Mrs. Hingor ni and Mr. Sharma do not accept the submission made by D Singhvi and have maintained that in the State of Rajasth Chunry ceremony is always associated with glorifying Sa and the celebration is a part of the traditional process

ot

s-

to

to

or

as

ar

m-

le

r.

to

WO

he

me

on

n-

or

a-

er

ny

11-

of

he

ds

ne

to

wn

be

e.

religious offerings in Sati temples. This certainly is n
the stage in the proceedings for a final view of the que
tion as to whether performance of Chunry ceremony amounts
glorification of Sati and the determination must be left
the final stage. We do not think it would be appropriate f
us to express any view beyond this point at this stage
the same may embarrass the bench which would ultimately he
the matter.

We are, therefore, of the view that the restraint i posed on holding the Chunry ceremony within the temp should continue without any variation. The contention of D Singhvi that Chunry is a part of Sodash Upachar will have be examined at length at the later stage.

Now a look at the other aspect. There can be no topinions that pending disposal of the writ petition, to temple complex has to be maintained and out of the incoearned maintenance expense must be met. The submissical advanced by Mrs. Hingorani that the temple should be coexperted forthwith into rehabilitation camps for uncared for ladies is certainly not one requiring any serious consideration. There is no provision in the statute or in any oth law which would warrant such change of user of the premise

Similarly if out of the income of this institution a contribution was being made to sustain some social instit tions of utility, the support should not die out. The r spondent No. 2 is free to move the District Magistrate

Jhunjhunu in regard to these two aspects and in case t District Magistrate is satisfied that appropriate fun should be released out of the deposits in the bank for o or both of the purposes indicated above he would be free direct such money as may be found necessary to be withdra from the bank for being utilised for the purposes as may specified by the District Magistrate m his order to be mad

This disposes of the Civil Miscellaneous Petition. P.S.S. 70

