PETITIONER:

SASTHI KEOT

Vs.

RESPONDENT:

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL

DATE OF JUDGMENT08/02/1974

BENCH:

KRISHNAIYER, V.R.

BENCH:

KRISHNAIYER, V.R.

SARKARIA, RANJIT SINGH

CITATION:

1974 AIR 525

1974 SCR (3) 313

1974 SCC (4) 131

ACT:

Maintenance of Internal Security Act, 1971 s. 3(3)--Vagueness of grounds--opportunity not afforded for making representation--Effect of.

HEADNOTE:

Pursuant to an order of detention issued under s. 3(1) read with s. 3(2) of the Maintenance of internal Security Act, 1971 the petitioner was detained. One: of the grounds of detention, which weighed with the detaining authority, the Government and the Advisory Board was that the petitioner was a "man of desperate habits and dangerous character and also prone to committing theft of underground cables". Allowing the petition tinder Art. 32 of the Constitution, HELD : The order of detention is in violation of both Art. 22(5) of the Constitution and s. 3(3) of the Act and therefore must be quashed. The grounds "desperate habits" and "dangerous character" cannot be regarded as anything but vague grounds. Apart from the vice of vagueness every desperate or dangerous man cannot be run down under s. 3 of the Act. Moreover, the vital %-et injurious dossier about the petitioner has not been communicated to him and, opportunity afforded for making a proper representation. [314 B]

JUDGMENT:

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION: Writ Petition No. 1607 of 1973.

Under Art. 32 of the Constitution for issue of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus.

Sadhu Singh, for the petitioner --

Dalip Singh and G. S. Chatterjee, for the respondent.

The Judgment of the Court was delivered by

KRISHNA IYER, J.-The petitioner has moved this Court underart. 32 of the Constitution for the issuance of a writ of habeas corpus, he being under detention by order of the District Magistrate, Burdwan, under sub-s.(1), read with sub-s.(2) of S. 3 of MISA (Maintenance of Internal Security Act, 1971). Various grounds, similar to those considered by us in Bhut Nath Mate v. State of West Bengal(1), have been

urged, and our conclusions thereon are similar to those we have already expressed in the other writ petitions.

It is important to note that in the atlidavit-in-opposition, filed on behalf of the respondent we find a statement as under

- "I further state that it appears from the records that the detenue petitioner is a man of desperate habits and dangerous character and also prone to committing theft of underground telecommunication cable."
- (1) Writ Petition No. 1456 of 1973; judgment delivered on February 8, 1974.

314

This has been relied upon by the State as additional ground in 'Support of the detention, apart from the theft of cables, recited in the detention order and repeated in the counter affidavit. Counsel candidly ,admitted that this additional circumstance had been placed before the State Government and the Advisory board, and certainly was before the District Magistrate when he passed the detention order. It is perfectly plain that the authorities have been influenced by the report ,of the police that the petitioner was "a man of desperate habits and dangerous character and also prone to committing theft of underground cables." We do not regard 'desperate habits' and 'dangerous Character' .as anything but vague. Apart from the vice of vagueness which perhaps may not matter so far as the satisfaction. of the authorities is concerned, every desperate or dangerous man cannot be run down under s. 3 of the MISA. Moreover, this vital yet injurious dossier about the petitioner has not been communicated to him and opportunity afforded for making a proper representation contra. Therefore there is violation both of art. 22(5) of the Constitution and of s.3(3) of the Act. In this view we are constrained to quash the detention order on the petitioner and

direct his release.

P.B.R.

Petition allowed.

315

