PETITIONER:

NATIONAL TEXTILE CORPORATION (U.P.)LTD. ETC.

Vs.

**RESPONDENT:** 

SWADESHI COTTON MILLS CO. LTD. &ORS. ETC.

DATE OF JUDGMENT: 23/10/1996

BENCH:

K. RAMASWAMY, G.B. PATTANAIK

ACT:

**HEADNOTE**:

JUDGMENT:

ORDER

Delay condoned. Leave granted.

We have heard learned counsel on both sides.

These appeals by special leave arise from the orders passed by the Division Bench of the Allahabad High Court dated May 14, 1996. It is not necessary to traverse the entire controversy. Suffice it to state that pursuant to the orders passed by this Court on July 13, 1987, the appellants have been depositing @ Rs. 25,000/- p.m. on and before 10th of every month from that date. This was further clarified by the order of this Court dated July 12, 1988 wherein this Court has stated that:

"We direct further that as regards the terms of the Order dated 13th July 1987 made by this Court directing the petitioner to deposit a sum of Rs. 25,000/- per month, the petitioner shall make such deposit in a schedule bank to the an interest credit of account opened in the name of the first respondent but it will not be open to the first respondent to withdraw any amount from account without the prior order of the Court."

When a clarification was sought for, this Court by order dated October 7,1996 clarified in I.A. No. 3/96 as under:

"It is clarified that the amount of Rs. 25,000/- which is required to be deposited by the petitioner in pursuance of the directions contained in the order dated July 13, 1987 and July 12, 1988 was not meant to be the rent for the part of the premises in the occupation of the petitioner and that the said amount is to be adjusted as per the

final order that is passed in the proceedings arising out of the suit."

In view of the direction issued by this Court, the respondent would be entitled to withdraw the amount from the account with the permission of the orders of the Court but such withdrawal would be subject to adjustment as per the final order that may be passed by the Civil Court in the pending suit. the High Court in the impugned order has directed the respondent to withdraw the amount on furnishing bank guarantee as indicated in the order as under

"Respondent No.11 to 14 shall be allowed to withdraw the amount deposited by the \ plaintiffappellant in terms of the orders passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court on 13.7.1987 and 12.7.1988 after they furnish a bank guarantee to the extent of the amount lying in deposit and an additional guarantee to the extent of 10% of the amount. aforesaid The bank shall be of quarantee a nationalised bank will be furnished to the satisfaction of Civil Judge, Kanpur Nagar. The order acceptance of \ bank guarantee shall be passed after giving an opportunity of hearing to plaintiff-appellant."

Such withdrawal, as clarified by this Court, will be subject to the result in the appeal and subject to the adjustment as per the orders of the civil Court in the pending suit. In the even of the appellant succeeding in the appeal arising out of the suit orders passed by the Civil Court, the withdrawal will be subject to the orders that may be passed therein.

The appeals are accordingly disposed of. No. costs.