IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1317 OF 2002

STATE OF HARYANA	A & ORS.	APPELLANT(S)
		Versus
SATYENDER KUMAI	₹	RESPONDENT(S)
	ORDE	E R
Haryana dated 7/12/199 petitioner/respondent be	9 in which a direction efore us be continued to	e order of the High Court of Punjab & n had been issued that the services of the till regular appointees are appointed. The asis and his service was terminable at any
has not put in appeara stayed the order of the I respondent, who was on	nce. It appears that i High Court in similar i contract basis, would put in appearance de	3/8/2001. The respondent was served but in some connected cases, this Court had matters. It is possible, therefore, that the d have been relieved by now and it is this espite notice. We are also disinclined to 2002.
3. We, according dismiss the writ petition		set aside the order of the High Court and
	 (H.	J. (ARJIT SINGH BEDI)
New Delhi; April 30, 2009.	 (B. SUDERSHAN I	J. REDDY)