CASE NO.:

Appeal (civil) 1252 of 2008

PETITIONER:

Commissioner of Income Tax, Ahmedabad

RESPONDENT: C.A. Taktawala

DATE OF JUDGMENT: 12/02/2008

BENCH:

S.H. KAPADIA & B.SUDERSHAN REDDY

JUDGMENT: JUDGMENT O R D E R

(Arising out of Special Leave Petition (C) No.19088/2006

Delay condoned. Leave granted.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we are of the view that the High Court had erred in not answering the question which, in our opinion, was the substantial question of law under Section 260-A of the Income Tax Act, 1962.

We quote hereinbelow for the sake of convenience the said question:

\023Whether on the facts and circumstances of the case, the Tribunal was right in law and on facts in cancelling the penalty levied u/s 271(1)(a) and 273(2)(a) of the I.T. Act, on the ground that benefit under the Amnesty Scheme was available to the Assessee, particularly when subsequent to search operation, the Assessee itself had revised its returns on a number of occassions, which would go to show that the return was :2:

not voluntary?\024

For the sake of clarity we also annex here the position of various returns filed for various Assessment years in question.

Asst. Year 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85 1985-86 1. Original return filed on 3.3.83 12.7.84 28.6.85 28.6.85 Income declared Rs 1,19,949/-1,10,700/-60,210/-8070/-2. First Revised

return filed on 31.3.86

```
28.11.85
     28.11.85
    31.3.86
Income declared Rs
 44,58,688/-
   1,22,460/-
    72,220/-
     79,460/-
3. Second Revised
return filed on
     29.9.86
     30.3.86
    31.3.86
    29.9.86
Income declared Rs
 25,27,210/-
  14,99,630/0
  10,71,970/-
   1,86,700/-
4. Third Revised
return filed on
     23.2.87
    23.2.87
   29.9.86
   25.2.87
Income declared Rs
 24,98,769/-
 16,96,350/-
  6,54,572/-
   9,67,830/-
5. Fourth Revised
return filed on
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
    26.2.87
   . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
Income declared Rs
 2,99,540/-
   6.Original Asst.
Order passed on
    23.3.85
   31.3.86
  30.3.87
   30.9.87
ASSESSED Income
Rs.
  20,29,840/-
  15,31,240/-
 11,70,540/-
10,27,700/-
7. Reassessment
order passed on
   31.8.87
   7.9.87
  . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
   . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
Ultimate
ASSESSED Income
Rs.
  38,22,110/-
 16,98,150/-
  . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
```

:3:

Having considered the above chart with relevant documents we are of the view that the above question needs to be considered by the High Court.

Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and the matters are remitted to the High Court for fresh consideration in accordance with law.

Accordingly the Tax Appeal Nos.281-288 of 2005 stand restored to the file of the High Court.

